

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/568,130 | 11/07/2006 | Byung Hyuk Choi | 05-481-B | 9631 | | |
| 20306 MCDONNEL | 7590 02/26/201 L BOEHNEN HULBER | EXAM | EXAMINER | | | |
| 300 S. WACKER DRIVE | | | PUROL, | PUROL, SARAHL | | |
| 32ND FLOOF CHICAGO, II | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| , | | | 3637 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 02/26/2010 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/568,130 | CHOI, BYUNG HYUK | | | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Sarah Purol | 3637 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | Saran Purol | 3637 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i | | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period call have been filled is the date for purposes of other mining the period to set for thin (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp. | liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t | Flad within two months | of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed). | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or | | lucing or simplifying t | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reject | cted claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The Arguments are not persuasive. | | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Sarah Purol/ | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3637 | |
| | | | |